

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF GALVANIZED AND HEAT-TREATED RHS

MIN SUN¹ and ZHENGYUAN MA²

¹*Department of Civil Engineering, University of Victoria, Victoria,
British Columbia V8P 5C2, Canada
E-mail: msun@uvic.ca*

²*Department of Civil Engineering, University of Victoria, Victoria,
British Columbia V8P 5C2, Canada
E-mail: isaacma@uvic.ca*

Hot-dip galvanizing is widely used for corrosion protection of steel structures. However, there has been a plethora of recent reports on premature cracking in galvanized steel structures, which have resulted in some early decommissions or even hazardous collapses. This research represents a first step towards: (1) understanding the corner cracking phenomenon in galvanized rectangular hollow sections (RHS); and (2) quantifying the changes of material and residual stress properties due to the hot-dipping process as well as different degrees of pre-galvanizing heat treatment. Hence, the effects of different fabrication processes on 18 RHS specimens were investigated via tensile coupon tests and residual stress measurements using the hole-drilling method. For the first time, this paper reports a comprehensive measurement of residual stresses in different directions at the member ends which are directly relevant to the cracking issue. The results were also compared to the residual stresses far away from the member ends, which are relevant to structural stability research. In all, the research provides a better understanding of the characteristics and structural performance of galvanized RHS to facilitate its application.

Keywords: Rectangular Hollow Section, Hollow Structural Section, galvanizing, heat treatment, residual stress.

1 Introduction

The application of galvanized tubular steel structures in bridges, highways, transmission towers, and industrial plants has expanded over the years (Packer et al. 2010, Sun and Packer 2019, Sun and Ma 2019). Since the service life of the zinc coating is in general longer than the design life of the structure it protects, galvanized steel structures are often maintenance-free (AGA 2012). A good understanding of the effects of galvanizing on the short- and long-term behaviors of steel components is essential for structural design. This research is motivated by a series of recent reports on cracking in galvanized cold-formed tubular steel structures and the limitations of current steel product standards and steel design specifications in this field. Based on an extensive literature review (Sun and Packer 2019), it was concluded that to this day, for HSS material, the relative significances of the steel-related and the galvanizing-related factors on the potential for LME and accelerated strain ageing had not been fully elucidated. Further research on the detrimental/beneficial effects of galvanizing on the mechanical properties of HSS material is needed. In addition, new guidelines for prevention of significant embrittlement of modern steels

Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium on Tubular Structures.

Editors: X.D. Qian and Y.S. Choo

Copyright © ISTS2019 Editors. All rights reserved.

Published by Research Publishing, Singapore.

ISBN: 978-981-11-0745-0; doi:10.3850/978-981-11-0745-0.039-cd

during galvanizing need to be developed because the requirements in relevant standards are in general brief, qualitative and hard to apply. In particular, experience has shown that cracking in RHS during galvanizing always starts from the corner region at the member free end and propagates along the tube length. Hence, measurements of residual stresses in the transverse direction at the susceptible locations are needed. On the other hand, recent research (Shi et al. 2013, Sun and Ma 2019) found that the hot-dipping process can sometimes significantly increase the material strength, lower the residual stress level and in turn improve the column behavior. However, these investigations did not cover a wide range of cross-sectional shapes or dimensions. Nevertheless, these investigations concluded that the potential benefits of the hot-dip galvanizing process on material properties should not be neglected, other than the improvement on durability of the structures. Another objective of this research is to determine the proper pre-galvanizing heat-treatment temperature and duration, especially for thick-walled RHS, for mitigation of cracking risk.

2 Experimental Program

Tensile coupon tests and residual stress measurements were performed on a total of 18 RHS specimens. The specimens were fabricated using three parent tubes. The RHS materials examined in this study are listed in Table 1. Three 12-metre long parent tubes were produced to Grade 350W Class C according to CSA G40.20/G40.21 (CSA 2013). The parent tubes have different width-to-wall thickness ratios corresponding to different overall amounts of cold working. One of the main objectives of this research is to quantify the changes of material properties and residual stresses at different locations of RHS, due to galvanizing and different degrees of pre-galvanizing heat-treatment. Each specimen ID includes three components. The first component (i.e. 6, 8 or 13) is the nominal wall thickness (mm). The second component distinguishes the specimens by different pre-galvanizing treatments, where C = cold-formed (Class C) without any treatment; 450 = cold-formed plus subsequently heat-treated to 450°C (holding time = 30 minutes) to the Canadian standard for a Class H finish (CSA 2013) or to ASTM A1085 by specifying Supplement S1 (ASTM 2015); and 595 = cold-formed plus subsequently heat-treated to an annealing temperature of 595°C (holding time = 30 minutes) per ASTM A143 (ASTM 2014). The third component of the ID indicates whether the specimen is galvanized, where U = ungalvanized; and G = galvanized. For comparison purposes, half of the specimens were galvanized. All galvanized specimens were dipped into the same chemical solutions for surface preparation and later into the molten zinc bath at the same time. Hence, for all galvanized specimens there is no variation in: (1) chemical compositions of surface preparation solutions or zinc bath mixture; and (2) temperature of the molten zinc bath. The hot-dipping process has a duration of 10 minutes.


Table 1. RHS specimens

Parent RHS	No.	Specimen ID	Parent RHS	No.	Specimen ID	Parent RHS	No.	Specimen ID
102×102×6.4	1	6-C-U	102×102×7.9	7	8-C-U	102×102×13	13	13-C-U
	2	6-450-U		8	8-450-U		14	13-450-U
	3	6-595-U		9	8-595-U		15	13-595-U
	4	6-C-G		10	8-C-G		16	13-C-G
	5	6-450-G		11	8-450-G		17	13-450-G
	6	6-595-G		12	8-595-G		18	13-595-G

2.1 Tensile Coupon Tests

A total of 108 tensile coupon tests were performed to determine the material properties around the cross-sections of the RHS specimens. For each of the 18 RHS specimen listed in Table 1, two flat tensile coupons from two flat faces away from the weld seam, and four corner coupons were machined and tested following the procedures in ASTM A370 (ASTM 2017). The 0.2% strain offset method was applied to determine the yield stress. For testing of corner coupons, a pair of special grips was used to connect the coupon to the universal testing machine, as suggested by Ma et al. (2015). The key test results for the corner coupons from all 18 RHS specimens are shown in Figure 1. The following observations could be made from the corner coupon test results in Figure 1:

- The 450°C heat treatment has increased the yield and ultimate strengths of corner materials of RHS 102×102×6.4 by 11% and 12%, respectively. For the same holding time in the furnace (30 minutes), the strength increase as a result of the 450°C treatment becomes smaller as wall thickness increases from 6.4 mm to 7.9 mm and becomes negligible when the wall thickness becomes 13 mm.
- Different from the 450°C heat treatment, the 595°C heat treatment has decreased the yield (up to 13%) and ultimate (up to 8%) strengths of corner materials for all three sizes of parent tubes. Similarly, for the same holding time in the furnace (30 minutes) the change in material strength becomes smaller as the RHS wall thickness increases.
- For RHS with a nominal wall thickness of 13 mm, the changes on the yield and ultimate strengths of the corner material due to the hot-dip galvanizing process are comparable to those from the 450°C heat treatment. However, in general the galvanizing process does not increase significantly the strength of the corner material, regardless of whether the material has been subject to pre-galvanizing heat-treatment (e.g. 6-C-U versus 6-C-G) or not (e.g. 6-450-U versus 6-450-G, and 6-595-U versus 6-595-G).
- The 595°C heat treatment improves significantly the ductility of the corner material. However, there is a trade-off between material strength and ductility. On the other hand, both the 450°C heat treatment and the galvanizing processes can effectively improve the ductility with no strength reduction.
- Similar observations could be made from the flat coupon test results. However, in general the improvement of material ductility at the flat faces due to galvanizing and heat treatment to different degrees are smaller, comparing to the corner coupon test results.



(a) Yield strength




Figure 1. Key tensile coupon results of corner coupons

2.2 Residual Stress Measurements

Galvanizing-induced cracking always initiates at the free end. RHS free ends tend to “open” during galvanizing as a result of high residual and thermal stresses in the transverse direction (Sun and Packer 2019). Hence, for severely cold-formed steels it is important to measure the residual stresses at the susceptible locations. In this research, the residual stresses were measured using the hole-drilling method and the standard equipment and strain gauge rosettes were in ASTM E837 (ASTM 2013). Typical locations of strain gauge rosettes and the test setup are shown in Figure 2. To evaluate the effects of different pre-galvanizing heat-treatments per ASTM A143 (ASTM 2014), ASTM A1085 (ASTM 2015) and CSA G40.20/G40.21 (CSA 2013) on the residual stress properties of RHS 102×102×6.4, 12 strain gauge rosettes were installed at all four corners at the free end of Specimens 6-C-U, 6-450-U and 6-595-U. The same method was applied to RHS 102×102×7.9 and RHS 102×102×13. To determine the residual stress properties after galvanizing, four strain gauge rosettes were installed at the same locations on specimens 8-C-G and 13-C-G. The calculations of residual stresses in the longitudinal and transverse directions were performed using the procedures in ASTM E837 (ASTM 2013). The results from the 40 strain gauge rosettes were normalized by the average of yield strengths of the two flat coupons ($f_{yf,avg}$) from the cold-formed and ungalvanized base specimens and plotted in Figures 3(a) and (b) against the normalized inside corner radii. The corner radii of all corners of the three parent tubes were measured to identify the degrees of cold-working. To quantify the difference in residual stresses at the free end and the middle of the specimens, an additional 8

strain gauge rosettes were installed at the middle of Specimens 8-C-U, 8-C-G, 13-C-U and 13-C-G. The comparisons of the measured values are shown in Figures 4(a) and (b).




Figure 2. Test setup and typical locations strain gauge rosettes




Figure 3. Measured residual stresses at the free end

It can be seen that both heat treatment and galvanizing not only lowered the magnitude but also smoothed the distribution of residual stress at different corners for specimens of different

cross-sectional dimensions. In general the longitudinal residual stresses are higher than the transverse ones. For the ungalvanized cold-formed specimens (i.e. 6-C-U, 8-C-U and 13-C-U), the longitudinal residual stresses at the free end range from 33% to 54% of $f_{yf,avg}$, and the transverse residual stresses range from 20% to 39% of $f_{yf,avg}$. One important finding is that the residual stresses in the transverse direction at the free end of the measured specimens are in general within the same order of magnitude of the thermal stress in the same direction during the hot dipping process (Sun and Packer 2019, Sun and Ma 2019).




Figure 4. Comparison of measured residual stresses at the middle and free end

The following observations were made by analyzing the data in Figure 3:

- By comparing 13-C-U to 13-595-U, the 595°C heat treatment (ASTM 2014) reduces significantly the residual stresses generated from cold-forming. A 69% decrease in longitudinal residual stress and a 66% decrease in transverse residual stress were observed. Similar observations can be made on the specimens with nominal wall thicknesses of 6.4 mm and 7.9 mm.
- By comparing 13-C-U to 13-450-U, a 34% decrease in longitudinal residual stress and a 31% decrease in transverse residual stress were observed. Similar observations can be made on the specimens with nominal wall thicknesses of 6.4 mm and 7.9 mm. It can be seen that the 450 °C heat treatment (CSA 2013, ASTM 2015) is a lot less effective in relieving the residual stresses comparing to the ASTM A143 heat treatment at 595°C. Also, the 595°C is more effective in improving the material ductility. However, it should be noted that, as previously mentioned, the 595°C heat treatment could sometimes significantly decrease the material strength.

- By comparing 13-450-U to 13-C-G, it can be seen that hot dipping the RHS material in a molten zinc bath maintained at 450°C for a much shorter period of time (i.e. 10 minutes) provides a partial residual stress relief comparable to the 450 °C heat treatment (CSA 2013, ASTM 2015). Similar observations can be made on the specimens with a nominal wall thickness of 7.9 mm. Hence, the effects of the galvanizing process on the residual stress properties of cold-formed hollow section material should not be neglected. Further research is needed on the optimized duration of heat treatment to different degrees.

By comparing the data in Figure 4, it can be seen that the residual stresses (both longitudinal and transverse) at the free end are a lot lower than those at the middle. The galvanizing process relieves effectively the residual stress at both the free end and the middle of the specimen. However, the correlations between residual stresses at different locations and the cross-sectional dimensions are not clear based on the limited data herein. Hence, further research is needed in this regard.

3 Conclusions

This research represents a first step towards: (1) understanding the corner cracking phenomenon in galvanized rectangular hollow sections (RHS); and (2) quantifying the changes of material properties as a result of the hot-dipping process. Hence, the effects of different fabrication processes on the material and residual stress properties of 18 RHS specimens were investigated via tensile coupon tests and residual stress measurements using the hole-drilling method. In particular, this research for the first time studied comprehensively the residual stresses in the corner regions at the member free ends, since residual stresses at these locations are directly relevant to cracking during galvanizing. Based on the material tested, it was found that:

- Although many of the RHS specimens have very small corner radii, no microcracks were found in the ungalvanized and galvanized specimens. The crack prevention rules in existing standards are in general very brief and qualitative. Further research is needed in this regard.
- The galvanizing process improved the ductility of the tested cold-formed material. It also led to a minor increase in yield strength.
- The effects of the hot-dip galvanizing process on the residual stress properties should not be neglected. Hot dipping the RHS material in a molten zinc bath maintained at 450°C for 10 minutes provided a partial residual stress relief comparable to a 450°C heat treatment with a 30-minute holding time. Further research is needed to determine the optimized heat treatment duration for a partial residual stress relief for improvement of column behavior.
- The 595°C heat treatment significantly lowered the residual stress and improved the ductility of the corner material. On the other hand, the 450°C heat treatment is less effective. However, there is a trade-off between material strength and ductility for the 595°C heat treatment.

Nomenclature

$\sigma_{rs, long}$	Residual stress in the longitudinal direction
$\sigma_{rs, tran}$	Residual stress in the transverse direction
ϵ_{rup}	Rupture strain (rupture strain is determined by re-joining the fractured coupon and measuring: change in gauge length / initial gauge length)
f_y	Yield strength
$f_{yf, avg}$	Average of yield strengths of tensile coupons from flat faces of RHS
f_u	Ultimate strength
r_i	Inside corner radius of RHS
t	wall thicknesses of RHS

References

- AGA, *Hot-dip galvanizing for corrosion protection, a specifier's guide*, American Galvanizers Association, Centennial, CO, USA, 2012.
- ASTM, *Standard specification for cold-formed welded carbon steel hollow structural sections (HSS)*, ASTM A1085/A1085M-15, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2015.
- ASTM, *Standard practice for safeguarding against embrittlement of hot-dip galvanized structural steel products and procedure for detecting embrittlement*, ASTM A143/A143M-07, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2014.
- ASTM, *Standard test methods and definitions for mechanical testing of steel products*, ASTM A370-17, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2017.
- ASTM, *Standard test method for determining residual stresses by the hole-drilling strain-gauge method*, ASTM E837-13a, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2013.
- CSA, *General requirements for rolled or welded structural quality steel/structural quality steel*, CAN/CSA-G40.20-13/G40.21-13, Canadian Standards Association, Toronto, ON, Canada, 2013.
- Huang, Y. and Young, B., The art of coupon tests, *J. Constr. Steel Res.*, 96, 159-175, 2014.
- Ma, J.L., Chan, T.M. and Young, B., Material properties and residual stresses of cold-formed high strength steel hollow sections, *J. Constr. Steel Res.*, 109, 152-165, 2015.
- Packer, J.A., Chiew, S.P., Tremblay, R. and Martinez-Saucedo, G., Effect of material properties on hollow section performance, *Struct. Build.*, 163(SB6), 375-390, 2010.
- Shi, G., Xue, J., Zhou, W. and Zhang, Y., Experimental investigation and modeling on residual stress of welded steel circular tubes, *Int. J. Steel Struct.*, 13(3), 495-508, 2013.
- Sun, M. and Packer, J.A., Hot-dip galvanizing of cold-formed steel hollow sections: a state-of-the-art review, *Front. Struct. Civ. Eng.*, 13(1), 49-65, 2019.
- Sun, M. and Ma, Z., Effects of heat-treatment and hot-dip galvanizing on mechanical properties of RHS, *J. Constr. Steel Res.*, 153, 603-617, 2019.